

Neighbourhood Plan Open Day - 18 Mar 18

Questionnaire Analysis

Ser	Post Code	Simon's Cross development		Comments	Old School Farm development		Comments	Potential Business Development site		Comments
		Yes	No		Yes	No		Yes	No	
a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k
1	IP13 OHE	1		Vehicular access should be from both ends of proposed site. No end of Gleham Hall lane to be widened with new access from this		1	Field behind the Walnuts should be developed before the field behind the Old School	1		
2	IP13 OQS	1			1			1		
3	IP13 OSS	1			1			1		Only if it is single storey with appropriate screening and units are allocated considering any noise nuisance that may be caused.
4	IP13 ORY	1			1			1		
5	IP13 OUB	1		Excellent	1		Excellent	1		Sensible
6	IP13 OTF						This area would be better used to consolidate the recreation of a playing field facility for the village and the proposed housing to go to next to the proposed location of the new allotments.			
7	IP13 ORH				1		This would make most sense as it is adjacent to the other new building in the village.			
8	IP13 OSS	1			1		Provide pedestrian access to back of Coop and shops.	1		Development would be better for business than housing, also providing more employment. Plus it is next door to the existing industrial site.
9	IP13 ORB	1		If there is a need.		1	The most sensible development for housing is a development on the other side of the road adjacent to the Hopkins Homes development.	1		Only place to put it, good road.
10	IP13 ORD				1			1		Provided we are given the track down to the lake as a public Footpath. (Recreation Area: See additional comments)
11	IP13 OTF	1		Access logic (?) BUT how about making the Simon Cross junction a road island given direct access onto B1078.	1			1		Makes sense
12	IP13 ORF	1		Assume allotment move is favourable to present incumbents.	1				1	Not entirely convinced it will be utilised.
13	IP13 OTD	1			1			1		

14	IP13 OTB	1			1			Are further business sites needed? What type of sites?
15	IP13 ODE	1			1	We feel that since the development at Morris Road, there are enough houses entering Wickham Market.	1	
16	IP13 ORB					Where will sewage go from this development? Down Chapel Lane? Already a problem there!		
17	IP13 OVL		1		1			1
18	IP13 ORF	2			2		1	
19	IP13 ORF							
20	IP13 ORW	1			1			1
21	IP13 OST	1			1		1	
22	IP13 OQY	1			1		1	
23	IP13 ORQ	1			1	Limit the number of homes and use part of the land to create one sporting/recreational area i.e. extending the current village playing area to incorporate.		
24	IP13 ONG	1			1		1	
25	IP13 ORA	1			1		1	Subject to well designed units (Aesthetic)
26	IP13 ORQ	1			1	The number needs to be limited. I consider Hopkins proposal of 150 home excessive. Where are all these people that need new homes??		
27	IP13 OTF	1			1		1	
28	IP13 OKA	1		Keep or provide play area	1	What about extending Hopkins homes existing to the next field?	1	
29	IP13 OUB	1		Good offer for resiting the allotments and advantageous to allotment tenants. Site used for housing will not impact on pinch points in village adversely.	1	Agree Penny Field should be recreational green space. Possible alternative for the Pump Track. Housing proposal seems helpful - the best development site available.	1	may be sensible to include Lahmann House.
30	IP13 OHS	1		Seems sensible although allotments really close for householders. Need to provide safe pedestrian access through the site, direct as possible.		Development must be accessed from High St (Walnuts Lane too narrow and well used by pedestrians, cyclists and horse riders). Wider views include those from bridleway, through to Pettistree, to designated area 6. Should be limited to a line from the SW corner of the existing village Hall field to the corner of the Cemetery. hedgerows essential. Site 5b not suitable - wider views of Pattistree Church and landscape much valued by walkers, cyclists, and pedestrians. Walnuts lane have very well used everyday by above - really quite lane. Environmental - skylarks, barn owls and buzzard frequently use these fields.		

31	IP130RW	1		concern re health centre, schools, roads and if needed.	1		concerns re health centre, schools, road and if needed	1		concerns re health centre, schools and roads
32	IP13 OUR	1		none	1		good road access design required	1		none
33	IP13 OQY	1		Acceptable place to develop small number of houses, it will be necessary to offer sweeteners to plot holders to encourage them to move but seems to have been considered, in favour of smaller housing 1/2 bedrooms, on part ownership for local people who wish to stay in village.		1	pleased to see old school as a heritage asset, I would prefer to see this site undeveloped to add some balance to the vista that greets you as you enter the village.	1		seems a sensible place to develop for business or housing, access onto the busy road will need to be carefully thought out.
34	IP13 ORD	1			1			1		
35	IP13 OHD	1			1			1		
36	IP13 OSB	1			1			1		
37	IP13 ORD	1			1		with retention of the triangle of land as set out in the plans in the presentation	1		
38	IP12 ORD	1			1			1		
39	IP13 ONE	1		suitable houses fro the village. No 4 beds	1		suitable houses fro the village. No 4 beds	1		
40	IP13 OQW	1			1		?	1		
41	IP13 OHS	1					Accept the need for long term housing but the views along walnuts lane must be protected. The restriction proposed by the council would be the maximum - needs to be more restrictive. Agree with the need for more smaller houses		1	Loss of natural views
42	IP13 ORD	1			1		Pleased to see the old school being retained.	1		
43	IP13 ODE	1		I don't think it will affect much in the environment	1				1	
44	IP13 ODG	1				1		1		
45	IP13 ODG		1		1				1	
46	IP13 OQS	1			1		Consider view of estate from Rec/village, screen low trees, minimise blank walls.			Small units, discourage heavy traffic-lorries. Consider home/work units
47	IP13 OHE	1				1		1		
48	IP13 OTJ	1				1		1		
49	IP13 OUB	1			1			1		
50	IP13 ORA	1			1		The additional housing stock will help to retain the retail area.	1		
51	IP13 OTF	1				1	We should agree that the old school building should be retained, but the proposed number of houses is too high. It would mean too many cars accessing the road. Doctors surgery is already at capacity.	1		

76	IP13 OSD	1			1			1	
		1						1	
77	IP13 OTF				1	See Further Comments			
78	IP13 OHE		1	See Additional Coments					1
78	IP13 OHE		1	See Additional Coments					1
79	IP13 OHE		1			The village does not have the resources to cater for new housing developments e.g.GP access and road infrastructure. The character of Wickham market will be adversely changed. There appears to be a certain zeal amongst some members of the Parish Council to support this development. Pettistree are not supportive of anything like this, why should we be?			
80	IP13 ORZ	1		This small development appears suitable without disadvantaging other village residents, especially if provision is made for allotments.	1	Partial agreement only. Limited housing numbers please. Penny Field to be kept as Green Space and no development adjacent to Walnuts lane		1	
81	IP13 ORZ		1	Small scale- non intrusive - development which may promise significant advantages to the village and residents				1	
81	IP13 ORZ		1	Small scale- non intrusive - development which may promise significant advantages to the village and residents	1	Subject to the following provisions: 1. Retain the Penny Field as a green area- no residential development. 2. Retain green area - no residential development along Walnuts Lanes the entire length to Pettistree. 3. No vehicular access to the Penny Field or anywhere to Old School Farm land from Walnuts lane. i.e. vehicle access confined to road from main road B1438		1	
82	IP13 ORA		1	Use land off Mill Lane behind workhouse	1			1	on demand only
83	IP13 ORZ		1	I cannot see a problem here.		I am tryin gto be positive about this, given that when it is complete it will be very close to where I live. I can see the suitability for access onto such a site. With access in mind it is imperative not to allow any vehicles off the back of the site at all onto Walnuts lane. This is especially relevant regarding construction vehicles.		1	This seems sensible and in the right place as an extension to the existing business parking.
84	IP13 ORQ	1			1			1	However one hopes that this use will not increase HGC traffic through village eg. No haulage firms

85	IP13 ORF		1	Such a development here would move the built environment into the open countryside, so seen as inappropriate. Are there Brown Field sites available, that would be more appropriate within the physical limits boundary of the village? If this allotment area is exchanged for another location (farm land) this will surely set a precedent for allowing other allotment site to be moved. Surely The Glebe Allotments would be moved to another site with out hesitation. In the SCDC LDP, Allotment sites are protected surely this is how they should remain. Have concerns impact on the PRoW.		1	This is an inappropriate development that would not enhance the entrance to a rural village. This has already been one new housing estate being allowed to be built on farm land in the open countryside. This development is sadly visible from the other side of the valley as seen when taking part in SCDC Healthy Walks Programme. The SCDC LDP is still not completed as its review should have been carried out in 2015; this means that all towns and villages in this area are blighted by the developers being allowed by law to build in inappropriate places without the district council having the power to stop them. Any new housing should be lived in full-time and not purchased as second home or as an investment which can be left empty. I would like to see Wickham Market place a restriction on any new homes as St Ives in Cornwall has done. Would be pleased to see more appropriate designed house that are more in keeping with the Suffolk style. There needs to be a Design Statement for our village. What about Terrace cottages, one/two bedrooms, really affordable and self builds, eco friendly homes that are affordable to run, with grey water collection and eco electricity. Car share included. With this suggested amount of new developments will current utilities being able to cope. It is not the developers that have to pay for increase of sewage it is Anglian Water that pays this surely means that the customers have to pay which seems unfair		1	Is this on flood plan and I believe that Anglian Water has concerns about the development of this site and going over one of their aquifers. A development here would put more traffic onto Border Cot Lane, an already busy road that pushes traffic into and through the village. Border Cot Lane is inappropriate for more goods vehicles. The junction of Border Cot Lane with High Street can already be seen as unsafe. Are all business sites taken up in the village? It would be more appropriate to have any new business units on "A" roads near urban areas with train links and away from a village with roads that are overcrowded.
86	IP13 QQY		1	Subject to reasonably priced houses being built		1	I feel the this is too big and there are not enough local jobs thus they will end up being expensive homes.		1	Smallunits to start upbusiness at a reasonable rent
87	IP13 OAF		1	Could not provide sufficient houses to meet even the minimum planned target of 32 units. An access from the Simon's Cross estate onto Broad Road would tempt more traffic looking to go south from Wickham to use Wickham centre.		1	The best site. Would provide 80 units in one location thus placing it just above the mid point of the housing target range of 32 to 110 units. Access onto High Street is good and would allow the site traffic that wants to go either northwards or southwards from Wickham to do so without transiting Wickham centre.		1	Keeps all light industrial activity in the same vicinity.
88	IP13 OAF		1	Could not provide sufficient houses to meet even the minimum planned target of 32 units. An access from the Simon's Cross estate onto Broad Road would tempt more traffic looking to go south from Wickham to use Wickham centre.		1	The best site. Would provide 80 units in one location thus placing it just above the mid point of the housing target range of 32 to 110 units. Access onto High Street is good and would allow the site traffic that wants to go either northwards or southwards from Wickham to do so without transiting Wickham centre.		1	Keeps all light industrial activity in the same vicinity.
89	IP13 OAF		1	Could not provide sufficient houses to meet even the minimum planned target of 32 units. An access from the Simon's Cross estate onto Broad Road would tempt more traffic looking to go south from Wickham to use Wickham centre.		1	The best site. Would provide 80 units in one location thus placing it just above the mid point of the housing target range of 32 to 110 units. Access onto High Street is good and would allow the site traffic that wants to go either northwards or southwards from Wickham to do so without transiting Wickham centre.		1	Keeps all light industrial activity in the same vicinity.
90	IP13 OAF		1	The concern with developing this site is the effect it will have on access to and from Simon's Cross, and the impact this will have on the narrow lane and the general increase in traffic flow in this area.		1	Developing this site makes far more sense. The infrastructure is already in place, with a far better road than on the Simon's Cross site. A site here would also be closer to the village centre and amenities. This is a brown field site too. Brown field sites should be developed before any green field sites are considered.		1	

91	IP13 OAF		1	The access between Simon's Cross and Broad Road is not particularly good and could encourage more traffic through Wickham Market centre.	1	This is a brown field site, so makes it far more suitable for developing. It is important brown field sites are considered before any focus is directed towards development on any green field sites that surround Wickham Market. This site has got better road connections too, and is closer to the centre of Wickham Market village.	1	
92	IP13 OAF	1		If accessed from inside Simon's Cross existing road network.	1		1	Water sewage situation needs primary consideration.
93	IP13 OAF	1		If development has to happen.	1	If development has to happen. Access from A12 is best in Wickham Market	1	Needs to meet existing water/sewage system.
94	IP13 OAF	1		Reuse of land already committed to community. Must ensure some 'genuinely' affordable properties built.	1	BROWN FIELD. Most sensible place if we can't get Pettistree to agree partnership to build at the outskirts of their area (next to existing development by Hopkins.)	1	MUST think flexibly not just another business "shed."
95	IP13 OAF	1		Reuse of land already committed to community. Must ensure some 'genuinely' affordable properties built.	1	BROWN FIELD. Most sensible place if we can't get Pettistree to agree partnership to build at the outskirts of their area (next to existing development by Hopkins.)	1	MUST think flexibly not just another business "shed."
96	IP13 OAF	1		Reuse of land already committed to community. Must ensure some 'genuinely' affordable properties built.	1	BROWN FIELD. Most sensible place if we can't get Pettistree to agree partnership to build at the outskirts of their area (next to existing development by Hopkins.)	1	MUST think flexibly not just another business "shed."
97	IP13 OAF		1	Concern with adding to volume of traffic into town square.	1	The only option that avoids town centre pinch points. Direct access onto B1438 gives traffic a chance to flow.	1	The B1078 High Street exiting Wickham Market is subject to many parked cars which could cause problems with larger vehicles.
98	IP13 OAF		1		1		1	
99	IP13 OSF	1		Adding houses to the existing estate seems sensible and has good access	1	80 houses is a lot would 40 be more appropriate for this location in the village?	1	This is a special Landscape area, why have that designation and then build on it?
100	IP13 OSF	1		Seems like a potential Site that causes less disturbance to current residents and maintains allotments	1	Maybe slightly fewer houses - 60? Not extending quite so far west.	1	Designated as a special Landscape area - These words become meaningless if you then use the land for business development.

101	IP13 OSF								
102	IP13 OSS	1		Think that would be a good idea, but houses need to be affordable.		1	Think we will have enough houses. Anymore will spoil Wickham Market.		1 Don't need anymore.
103	IP13 ORW		1	See comments		1	see comments		1 See comments
104	IP13 ORW	1		This would makes sense so long as it is continued from Simons Cross and the housing provided is required by a need of the community and NOT for outside demand.		1	I am very unsure of agreeing to this owing to the proposal put forward by Hopkins Homes in October last year. Whilst I am aware of the plans drawn up by the NP, how can we guarantee these restrictions will be adhered to?		1 although not really sure what sort of business it would attract and hopefully whatever units go there would be better designed than the Plastics for Games building which in my mind is too high and obtrusive to the skyline and view of houses opposite.
		78	17			71	23		
								73	14

% who answered Q 91.35
% in favour 82.11

90.38
75.53

83.65
83.91

1				Essential that we keep Wickham Market village as an attractive place to live.	1		We need to keep as much conservation areas as possible.		1
1			1		1			1	
	1	Not appropriate. Mill Lane too narrow.							
	1			1		1			1
2			2		2			2	
1			1		1				1
1			1		1			1	
1			1		1			1	
1			1		1			1	
1			1		1			1	
1			1		1			1	
1			1		1			1	
1		Best of sites available. Hoping people will visit and not find the footpath into the village centre too far! Problem already for Church Terrace residents. Any chance of a widened Church Terrace access road will residents parking?							
		Can see the merit of this car park, but unsure of the environmental impact. Must be Long Stay plus maybe option of short stay visitors to Lehmann House. Pedestrian access with good visibility to pump track. If area opposite (behind Chapel Lane) designated as green space would give good access too. Low level environmental lighting required for security as would feel remote from village.	1		Add area behind houses on Chapel Lane and Deben Court (To W of proposed Pump Track). Footpath through and trees.	1		Agree with 2 proposed extensions. Include cottages at junction of Mill Lane and Church Terrace.	

1		Query regarding the road link	1		none	1	1	none		
1		could be long stay to reduce traffic movements. Also for Kitson court residents and those in Church Terrace	1		none	1		none	1	
1		car park seems very large, it should be free and well signed to encourage visitors/tourists/walkers to the village to use it, thus keeping car parks nearer the shops for short stay shoppers and health centre visits.	1		none	1		any additional protection to the Glebe allotments is to be encouraged	1	
1			1			1			1	
1			1			1			1	
1			1			1			1	
1		Unsure how people will use it as currently people queue to use the hill car park rather than drive to other car parks.	1			1			1	
1			1		Important to retain	1			1	
1			1			1			1	
1			1			1			1	
1		Will only be properly used if lighting and pathways are suitable. It would free up Seagers car park. Need 1 hour free on all car parking.	1		Would add land to west of pump track	1			1	
1		Will be needed with additional population	1		Important to retain				1	
1			1			1			1	
1			1			1				1
1			1			1			1	
1		Encourage it as a long term to prevent too many vehicle movements. High change with short term. Make existing no charge for longer.	1			1			1	
1		Must be free. Since charging came in the centre has been severely affected.		1		1			1	
1			1			1			1	
1			1			1			1	
1		Include some free parking to attract visitors to the village	1			1			1	
1			1			1			1	

1			1		1		1	
1			1		1		1	
1		Consideration need to be given to the assessible route from the car park to the medical centre. Difficult changes in level between Lehmann House and the library	1		1		1	
1		A new car park is essential.	1	This would be very beneficial for Wickham market	1	Agree Deben Court, not Glebe allotments.	1	
	1		1		1		1	
1			1		1		1	
1		Needed	1		1		1	
1			1		1		1	
		The location seems sensible, but I question whether the size of it needs to be so large.						
1		We need more parking facilities	1		1	Better protection for Glebe Allotments	1	
1		Access? Narrow Road.	1		1		1	
1		Possibly need to widen access road	1		1		1	
1			1	Some other green areas need to be addressed too.	1		1	
1		More parking is needed, but worried about access due to the narrow road.	1		1		1	
1			1		1	I think it is very important to bring the Glebe Allotments into this area, the muted suggestion of housing is inappropriate.	1	
	1	Already too much traffic at High St end of Chapel Lane. People park on the road when space available in Medical Centre car park.	1		1			
1			1		1		1	
1		I have reservations as to whether it would be used.	1	Very glad to see the glebe allotments protected	1		1	
1			1		1	Please see further comments for items in danger	1	
	1		1		1			1
	1	Mill lane too narrow. Its too far away from the centre.					1	

	1		1		1		1	
1		Local Cottages require parking space. Either created in front of them or within a new car park.	1		1		1	
1			1		1		1	
1			1		1		1	
1		Location near to doctors and Library seems good	1		1			
1		Proximity to doctors and social services clustered at the library and Lehmann House are key factors.	1		1		To preserve the character of the village	
1		Prioritise one direction in narrow parts. Zebra near Chapel Lane/High St Jct	1			1		?
	1	I can see the need but the approach to it is too complicated and it will be too far away from the centre so may not get used in the way envisaged. See Additional Comments.	1		1			1
1			1		1			1

	1	All car parks in the village should be reviewed and re-designed so as to look at increasing the number of car parking spaces and reduce the existing amount of vegetation. In particular, the three car parks that abut each other (co-op, village hall/football club and old Seagars car park) should be reviewed as these have three entrances which could be amalgamated to form one in and one out; I believe this would reduce the likelihood of an accident. It would seem appropriate to make this into one car park which could surely be designed to increase the number if spaces available. I hate to say this but I feel that some of the planting may have to be replaced. Could the recycling area be relocated, for one thing it is in a location that floods as no drains in this area, doing this would give more park area. I am against a further car park being placed on a Green Field site that received funding which I believe it was under HLS. A car park down Mill Lane would seem to be too far from the village centre. And taking a green space from the village. More thought needs to be given to using and increasing public transport and setting up car sharing. As the car parks are empty after 5pm I don't feel there is a need to turn an agricultural field into a car park. Could villagers rent out car parking spaces on their drives during the day when they may be at work? I believe this system runs in other areas. It should be that we get people out of their cars to either walk or use public transport. The suggested new disabled access to a possible car park off Mill Lane is unlikely to be of benefit to anyone with a disability as it is too away from the shops and centre of the village. If the traffic into the village is not kerbed then anyone with a disability trying to cross any roads with in the village is likely to struggle more and more, especially as there is only one pedestrian crossing in the village. If at all possible pedestrians should have right of way over any vehicles. These pedestrian areas are in place in towns such as Ashford in Kent.	1		This is necessary for the health and well being of the planet and not just for people. All elements have to be considered to the highest standard and benefit to the village with need to be carefully monitored and money set aside to care for them. There is an increasing need to preserve and protect trees and hedges as they under more threat than has been seen since the 1960's. I have been told that SCDC Legal Department has no money to challenge any unlawful tree or hedge removal which is worrying and of great concern for our green environment. To plant more trees and hedges, to be funded from the local government purse.	1		These are our 'Green Lungs' and are necessary in preserving the countryside, our wildlife and the health of our community. To increase these areas and work to educate the younger generations to understand how important the natural environment is to their future.	1	
	1	Not viable because of access	1			1			1	
	1		1			1			1	
	1		1			1			1	
	1		1			1			1	
	1		1		As much as possible of the green spaces should be protected. They provide areas where youngsters have areas to play ball games, or just to meet up with friends. This is just as important for the older residents of Wickham Market too, so they can have places to walk and meet people.	1		It is important to preserve conservation areas as far as possible.	1	

1			1	It is extremely important that as much of our green spaces are protected. These areas play an important part in everyday life, especially the social aspect, creating opportunities for meeting people, places to unwind, apart from the leisure and recreational uses, such as places for walking, dog walkers, young families to get together etc.	1		The opportunity to extend any conservation areas has to be a good thing, both for the people living nearby and for the environment.	1	
1		Current parking nearby always full.	1		1			1	
1		Extra parking needed especially older for people - Medical Centre car park always full.	1		1		Want to maintain existing property layout.	1	
1		No idea of logistics but current parking insufficient. Put disabled parking near pay point - see new P.P.I. rules.	1						
1		No idea of logistics but current parking insufficient. Put disabled parking near pay point - see new P.P.I. rules.	1						
1		No idea of logistics but current parking insufficient. Put disabled parking near pay point - see new P.P.I. rules.	1						
1			1		1			1	
1			1						
1		Again this is Special Landscape Area with poor access. Why do we need an additional 80 spaces? I have been monitoring the car park usage over the past weeks and having only seen the car park almost full once. Why do we need an additional 80 spaces? We are a community of under 2000 people. We have had a road building civil engineer and his comments were quite negative with some real concerns over safety and visibility. this is in the Special Landscape Area.	1		1			1	
1		Designated as a special Landscape area - These words become meaningless if you then use the land for business development. Is there a recognised need for a new car park? Access to the proposed site would be via chapel Lane/Mill Lane and Mill Lane is not wide enough to accommodate 2 car widths and junction is on a blind corner.	1		1		Keep as much as possible. Possibly use Penny Field as Pump Track location. Near to other recreational facilities.	1	

	1	Living Down Mill Lane I have had numerous near misses on the corner of Mill Lane & Church Terrace where the proposed entrance to car park is and feel this is not a suitable place for a car park to be built.							
1	1	We need more parking, but not sure about Mill Lane.	1		We want to enjoy the wildlife.	1			1
		See comments	1		See comments	1		See comments	1
	1	Do we need another car park or could we utilise our empty driveways during the day as they do in other parts of Suffolk. Green parking gives residents the opportunity of renting their driveways. We have a lot of empty driveways during the day and full car parks, followed by empty car parks in the evening and full driveways. This could be trialled.	1		We need to preserve and create as much green space as possible for public access, especially as the green space surrounding Wickham is only accessible in parts, thanks to public footpaths. Not sure if I've got the right place on the map, but it looks like we have already lost an important tree from a proposed green space.	1		We need to conserve more areas.	1
76	15		88	2		83	5		73 9

87.5
83.52

86.54
97.78

84.62
94.32

78.85
89.02

ension

Comments
w
Cannot increase shopping opportunities when there are already empty shops in the village. There are also retail outlets on the industrial site not included on your map.
We cannot currently fill the empty shops on the Hill
Not sure how this (?) the retail potential of the village. Need to include the Village Hall as this is a potential retail outlet.

There are currently empty retail premises in the village.

Probably best option for this.

Remove Old Vicarage. Add new vicarage and Beehive Area to protect as service. Add Village Hall as a Service (Inlc field)

How?
none
to include Lehmann House seems sensible
This needs to be completely redrawn - exclude old vicarage. Include new village hall, etc.
Important to support additional numbers but current empty shops need filling first
if required.

Why does this need extending when it appears that we have a number of empty shops available. Concerned that one shop in the centre of village appears to have changed from business to residential.

More people more shops needed
Only concern is that there are already units that are vacant.

If the units can be filled. An opticians is the only service missing from Wickham Market!

See comments

Non-Designated Heritage			Areas to be Protected from Development			Pump Track		
Yes	No	Comments	Yes	No	Comments	Yes	No	Comments
x	y	z	ab	ac	ad	ae	af	ag
					Allotments behind vicarage			
1			1		Strongly supported			
1			1					
1			1					
1			1					
						1		I think the proposed site for the location of the Pump Track is inappropriate because these sites require car parking and they draw people from far and wide. Has anyone visited a pump track and observed how it actually works with regard to usage e.t.c. The potential congestion in the access road- has someone taken an inventory of the situation as it is today?
1			1					
1			1					
				?	Not sure property along Snowden Hill are protected as they are large plots which could infill building.			Pump track should be on playing field
1			1		Very important to maintain W.M character			
								Really like the idea of the Pump Track. Very good for young people in the area.

1			1		Please see overleaf			
1			1					
	1			1				
2			1					
1			1					
1			1					
1			1					
						1		Don't agree with the pump track
1			1					
1			1			1		In favour of the Pump Track
1			1				1	I don't agree with the pump track for BMX bikes as it would encourage teens from outside the village to come in!
1			1					
1			1					
1		Old School on High St really important.				1		

1		none	1		none			no question
1		none	1		none			no question
1		agree with good selection of heritage assets	1					
1			1					
1			1					see additional comments
1			1					
			1		some areas need to be left, but housing is needed.			
1			1					
1			1		plus area 1c			
1			1					
1			1			1		Definitely a pump track
	1		1			1		Need a pump track
1			1					
1			1		Retain green spaces. Do not encourage infill.			
1			1					
1			1					
1		Add plaques to the listed buildings throughout the village	1					
1			1					

1			1				
1			1				
1			1				
1		Yes, but have you considered Thong Hall?	1		Keeping the green areas of the village is essential to preserving the character of the village.	1	The pump track is an excellent idea.
1			1				
1			1				
1		Good idea	1		See additional comments.		
						1	The Pump Track is a very good idea.
						1	The mountain bike track is a great idea.
1		I am not sure if the old gallows are worth trying to save given that no one is looking after it now and it is faling apart.	1				
1			1		Essential		
1			1				
1			1				
			Mostly		Map not entirely accurate - some areas are no longer green.		
1			1				
1			1				
1			1				
		Site 10 are cottages. Happy that they are character properties not conservation.					
1			1		Very glad to see the glebe allotments protected		
1			1				
1			1				
		We need a plan to care for these					
		At 198 High St there is a house faced with the clinker Slag from Whitmore and Binyon					

1			1				
1			1				
1			1				
			1				
1		We need to keep these to preserve the history and character of the village.	1		Adding Penny Field to the green village amenities makes sense perhaps as a wild flower meadow area.		
1		Preserve for future generations to retain the character of the village.	1		Include Penny Field in this to preserve character, protect Walnuts Lane - a major village asset and extend recreational provision.		
			1				
1		These are jewels in the village and once lost will never be replaced.	1		Not sure if I totally agree that a person cannot put something in their own garden when huge swathes of land are being covered with questionable designs willy nilly.		
1			1				

	1	Would be pleased to see more of these assets included items that we normally just walk past daily. I also think it appropriate to have Green Heritage Assets recorded such as ancient and important trees in the landscape and those that add to the biodiversity of the village. I have been concerned recently at the loss of two mature trees; firstly the large beech tree in the grounds of a Grade II listed building which is likely to be replaced with a garage and; secondly the removal of an old cooking apple tree in the garden of one of the houses in Church Terrace, this was also removed to allow a garage to be built. It would seem appropriate to have a full tree survey carried out within the village, in order to protect are Green Heritage assets.			Both current Allotment Areas. Agricultural land as farmers tell us that these are needed to feed future generations. No development to expand beyond the current physical limits boundary of the village.			
1			1			1		Provided a suitable site's available.
1			1		In broad terms, there should be no significant development in the sector stretching from the west through north to the east of the existing Wickham Market settlement.			
1			1		In broad terms, there should be no significant development in the sector stretching from the west through north to the east of the existing Wickham Market settlement.			
1			1		In broad terms, there should be no significant development in the sector stretching from the west through north to the east of the existing Wickham Market settlement.			
1			1		Any green field sites should be protected from development, such as the fields towards the northern part and to the west of Wicham Market.	1		

1			1	As far as possible no major development should take place on green field sites. It is important to keep the rural feel that Wickham Market has now, as well as the views, which local people and visitors alike can enjoy.	1	
1			1	Nothing should be agreed which makes the parking at school more dangerous.		
1			1	Have to ask passenger to guide driver past school as can't see round the corner. Excluded plots 5b should remain excluded.		
			1	NO development should be allowed which does not have safe passage to school - mkt place for school bus. No development using single trak roads. See criteria for exclusion from building land.		1 Concerned about H & S issues around pump park - unrealistic idea for unsupervised children.
			1	NO development should be allowed which does not have safe passage to school - mkt place for school bus. No development using single trak roads. See criteria for exclusion from building land.		1 Concerned about H & S issues around pump park - unrealistic idea for unsupervised children.
			1	NO development should be allowed which does not have safe passage to school - mkt place for school bus. No development using single trak roads. See criteria for exclusion from building land.		1 Concerned about H & S issues around pump park - unrealistic idea for unsupervised children.
1			1			
1			1			
1			1	Areas of Special Landscape area should also be included		1 Another site of special Landscape area and building a track such as these would make a mockery of such a designation. I am a very keen cyclist however I wonder how many in the community would benefit from such a development. Was there significant support for this in the community questionnaire? I have seen no evidence to support this in the local plan plan documentation. If you wanted to make this space for community use why not put a nature reserve there potentially with a lake, seating and natural planting to encourage bio-diversity?
1			1	Think you should include proposed car park and Pump track land in this too.		1 This is in a Special Landscape Area so should NOT be developed and use of green area changed. Current site provides important habitat for wildlife and should continue yo do so. Limited benefits to community if pump track whereas greater benefit to more people (+wildlife) if preserved, visually enhancing the village. Could area be developed further to encourage more wildlife. Make it more of a feature? (e.g. a wildflower meadow, bird hide, tree planting)

								Good evening Mr Jenkinson Thank you for calling me back tonight to discuss my concerns over Pump Track. My main concern is that it is situated right next door to my property and one of the reasons for us moving here was the rural location and we let the Old Mill out as a Holiday home and guests come for peace & quiet. I am concerned that it is going to be very noisy late into the evenings and also rubbish will accumulate in our garden. The proposed site used to belong to our property and was sold in 1953 to Mr Richard Hayward as Agricultural land and was sold subject to certain covenants contained in a Conveyance dated 16th June 1924. This Covenant reads: THE PURCHASER SHALL NOT ALLOW THE SAID LAND OR ANY PART THEREOF TO BE USED OR OCCUPIED BY GYPSIES OR FOR THE PURPOSE OF FAIRS, SHOWS OR PUBLIC EXHIBITIONS OR FOR ANY TRADE OR BUSINESS OF A NOISEY OR NOXIUS NATURE. I would consider a Pump Track to be of a noisy nature or considered a Public Exhibition. (Photo attached) I would be very grateful if my concerns were raised with the committee and also the covenant of 16th June 1924 which is referred to in many documents relating to our property over a number of years.
						1		
1			1			1		That would be good for the youngsters.
1		See comments	1		See comments	1		I agree.
1			1		Think we should include views in this as they have remained unchanged for so long, plus check for old trees that could be considered Parish Boundary trees.	1		Not in this area. While this piece of land seems redundant, it is regularly used as a pedestrian right of way for residents from Deben Court avoiding the lack of pavement in Chapel Lane.
77	3		81	1	0	12	10	

76.92
96.25

78.85
98.78

21.15
54.55

Additional Comments

ag	
1. Please check with Estate Agents about the demand for bungalows. They appear to be snapped up when they come onto the market. 2. All new houses should have parking for atleast 2 cars. Parking spaces must be a realistic width (not like the Coop!).	1
	1
2	1
	1
I am aware that this is a Wickham Market NP. However, I am aware there is thought being given to development next to Wickham Place. Although in Pettistree I think it should have been mentioned/shown at the Open Day if this is still being pursued.	1
Why are flats not appropriate? All over the country there are young people eager to get on the property ladder and wanting a small place of their own. Divorced people need such properties. Some older people do not want the responsibility of a home and garden. NB. Need for a private sheltered development for older people who own there own home and are not elidgible for council/housing association properties. Often stuck in houses they cannot cope with or afford, we need this type of housing and it would release houses onto the market. Regarding use of the village field We need a fitness trail and an outdoor gym. Great to see more outdoor activities e.g.zip wire. Please extend propected play area for little children. Would be lovely to see field used as well as for football and dog walkers.	1
I think it would be a hug loss to the village - moving the allotments - to enter the current allotments feels like stepping back in time - it is a quiet and peaceful haven where the same animals return year after year. Insects, birds, e.t.c. It takes years to establish such a place. I can see that it would be cheaper for the developer to be building on land adjacent to the dwellings taht border the current allotmants. I think the quality of life of the people who live around the current allotments will be affected in a detrimental way. The point of living in a village is that there is community, but it dosen't feel urbanised. Please consider consolidation the recreation facilities. Has anyone considered a crossing near to Coop	1
	1
Why are flats not appropriate? Good as a first step to get on the housing ladder without taking a lot of space. Plus good for down-sizing thus freeing houses. Generally need job oppertunities as well as housing to attract and keep younger peopl in the village.	1
	1
Possibility of a circular walk down track along side of "lake" back due south towards the High St along the hedge to meet the track back to the High St	1
Why do we need a Pump Track? Will the (?) land room enough for 10 – 12 houses	1
All in all seems a well thought out/ thorough plan accepting that housing developoments are required. The overall plan seems to allow for expansion/development whilst protecting the character of village.	1
	1

The slide-show presentation was very blurred/fuzzy, therefore residents were unable to identify specific references to the proposed plans. Neighbourhood Plan:- Should have included ad/or supplied handout flyers/information/plans etc detailing all proposed plans and developments, enabling residents/households to qualify. Responses in any form of detail. Old School Farm development: sb/6 New development. Walnuts Lane must be protected as a lane and not be allowed to be developed into a "B" road. The field behind Willow Tree Close/Walnuts Lane:- under no circumstances should be developed. This would spoil the natural beauty of the land and outlook and possibly infringe the play area. We currently have an abundance of wildlife utilising surrounding fields and trees. This will be lost should a housing estate be developed in this area. We do not believe the road network in Wickham Market could support another housing estate. There is a lack of bus network to support any further development, enabling students to attend local senior schools. We assume local residents will be kept informed of any further development plans for future expansion of Wickham Market, enabling residents to voice their opinions and objections prior to any decisions by the Parish Council.

1

Also the road is not able to cope with the increased traffic. It is dangerous via the Post Office. Importantly services. There is no mention of schooling, Police, doctors, dentist and also sewerage. Since this development at Morris Road, my property has experienced excessive power cuts, when experiencing bad weather. UK Power Networks have been trying to sort the problem, but there is a power overload in the system. What will happen with extra houses?

1

Far too much development already.
Housing – More bungalows needed for downsizing. Wickham services provide well for elderly so demand for bungalows outstrips supply. Outlying villages show a steady demand from over 65's to move to Wickham because of facilities, but limited new housing for elderly in pipeline. Main development for future needs should be beyond Wickham Place (Pettistree Parish) and needs to be flagged up via SDCD/ESDC Planning. Upto 100 mixed houses/bungalows could be developed.

1

see above

1

1

main concern is the amount of heavy traffic using the High St through to A12 as a short cut. Exceeding speed and thus shaking cottages/buildings on route down to its foundations. Who pays when repairs are required - Council - I don't think! Speed needs to be addressed now not when its too late.

1

1

My view of the recreational facilities is there was no overall plan and facilities are dotted about and ideally should all be in one place. i.e. around the current village playing field and football ground. Tennis courts and boys football facilities and childrens bike area should be included. This would free up potential areas for housing development. The new village hall should provide for all social and sporting needs.

Whilst we are all uncomfortable with change we feel that the information presented to us today does indeed represent a least worst option. Bearing in mind es must be built. In fact given time for the buildings and spaces to mature. If these proposals were acceptable the environment for all would improve, especially allowing younger people and families to remain in the area.

Need more lower entry housing to maintain housing mix. Why are flats not appropriate? These can still look like houses! Definitely need provision for more parking for residents for when children grow up.

	1
Glebe allotments to be protected. Anymore traffic through High St and Yew Tree Rise would be dangerous due to restricted visibility, narrow road and bus route. Also views of church integral to village scene. We need to keep our buses and flow through village. Need to consider housing mix carefully, for downsizing older residents, need for fewer rooms but of good size especially if mobility aids are needed, if two storey consider downstairs shower room.	1
re potential development sites 5b and 6. limited development would be acceptable, retain Penny Field. I like the idea of it being accessible greenspace. Development should not extend through to Walnuts Lane. I feel that a private retirement development could be a possibility here, would need to be unobtrusive, location is good for village facilities.	1
	1
	1
1) Concerns: agree need for new car park by Lehman House but access via Mill Lane onto Chapel Lane is dangerous due to impaired sight lines. 2) We need new housing that accomodates and is affordable to younger people and families and NOT expensive large housing that cannot be afforded by local people and encourages yet more 'downsizing' retirees from outside the area. The village will die if we don't retain and attract young families. 3) Pump track sounds like a great facility but i have concers re road safety particularly if car park is built next to Lehman House. Kids on bikes on Mill Lane/Chapel Lane with increased traffic to and from the car park - an accident waiting to happen. 4) Where are the jobs?	1
	1
	1
	1
Main concerns are: More adeqaute parking Better access to choke points on High St & traffic flow Better broadband (faster speeds) 20mph speed limit through the village	1
Further comment on Old School Farm development - The restrictive line should be angled back to the footbridge into the playing field (see drawing on questionnaire). This would protect the views properly from footpath to Pettistree and should include Penny field green area.	1
Further comments on Car Park - why not move football pitch from village hall and increase car parking in association with village hall and seagers car park.	
Fully support the development of WM and it seems to have been well considered. School numbers and possinle expansion need to be considered Need to ensure the 'essence' of WM isn't lost while giving it the infrastructure needed to grow.	1
	1
Anything historical should be kept We need to keep lots of fields because they are pretty	1
	1
Increased traffic will spoil the village centre. Traffic calming, restrictions and monitoring traffic will reduce speeds and encourage alternative routes to be taken, it is a cut through at present. Speeding cars through the village will one day cause a serious accident, especially with the narrow pavements. All traffic calming measures should be taken now.	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1

I totally approve. An excellent piece of work so far.
Any housing should be kept to an bare minimum. It should be for first time buyers. As eco-friendly as possible. Spaces between houses.
On the whole it is a good piece of work. My priorities and thoughts. It would be good to keep a clear boundary between WM and Pettistree so the two do not merge. It is good to see the village and community atmosphere attempting to be preserved, conservation area, green space e.t.c I am less than convinced the SCDC are aware of the traffic issues which already exist though speeding and narrow areas. heavy goods need diveting and no increase of traffic to the High St. The area behind the Old School House - there is considerable farm traffic that needs free mobility. The area behind the cemetery - so important for it to remain quiet and not overlooked and avoid merging with Pettistree.
Library marked on map attached to Old Maltings - no longer a library, hasn't been for many years.
High St sinage needs improving with more housing (traffic) being built. E.g. make traffic one way with Right of Way outside the Post Office. Housing should be "affordable" + 2/3 bedroomed for families on modest/average incomes. Or bungalows for older people at local level.
In the conservation area there are two items that we would like to see simpathetically dealt with: 1. Thatcher's Barn. We have made several attempts to get the owner (No longer a local Resident) or the council to repair this ancien building. Will the barn be left to rot? 2. The huge sycamore tree a few yards away from Thatchers barn is covered in ivy and does not have a full leaf cover in the summer. If it falls several houses around could be badly damaged. Can anything be done by the council?

1
1
1

1

1

1

1
1
1

1
1

1

1

1

1

1
1
1

<p>The field adjacent to Wickham Place has been verbally confirmed as owned by Hopkins Builders. It lies just outside the village itself even though it is part of Pettistree. The Government are instructing builders to build not just to take ownership of land for investment. How fortunate for Pettistree, but how unfortunate for Wickham Market village. It would be a massive development. If the Old School Farm is also developed then the village would have a complete imbalance of housing. The ownership of the land needs to be confirmed. Should it be owned by Hopkins, or any other builder in the future is needs to be seriously taken into consideration.</p>	<p>1 1</p>
<p>I do not believe that the village will benefit from any further development sites. I feel that we should have waited until coming under pressure from SCDC - We have already fulfilled current requirements. Any new targets are long term.e. up to 2036- why commit ourselves to major development before we have to? Village services such as GP access are already under pressure. On a personal level the Old School Farm development will have a negative impact on our quality of life. It will merge us into an estate environment which we particularly tried to avoid when moving here. The development is likely to negatively affect the value of our home. It was very disappointing to see that the Parish Council had not even proposed any mitigation at the boundary with our houses.e.Green Space, lower buildings e.t.c. Doesn't show much consideration for local residents.</p>	<p>1</p>
<p></p>	<p>1</p>
<p></p>	<p>1</p>
<p>In light of pressure for residential development I support the Old School Farm as a potential site for development subject to the following: 1. Not the ugly high density development of the previous Hopkins site (Wickham Place). 2. No vehicular access from any part of Walnuts Lane to any part of the development. The lane is a major community asset - for walkers, horse riders, cyclists, children (going to Playing Field) residents using footpath to Cop and the Village Hall, joggers e.t.c. It is green, safe and relatively traffic free and single track the whole length. 3. Housing for the elderly and affordable homes must be a significant proportion of the development. 4..The Penny Field and a substantial tract of land bordering Walnuts Lane must be preserved as green areas - free from any residential development.</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>As regards to the additional Car Park: I feel strongly about people coming to work and leaving their cars and vans all day on existing car parks. Maybe those are people who should be encouraged (financially perhaps) to use the long stay car park further away like this one? (See certain vans on the Village Hall car park all day when the rest of us are not allowed to use it! Regarding Housing; As stated in the vision the developers keep building large executive houses whether we want them or not. Their brief should be adhered to, that what they have to provide are smaller properties specified and agreed to when they seek their original planning permission. They seem to get away with whatever suits their greed. This needs to stop! The village needs to stand up to the combined juggernaut of Hopkins Homes, Clarke and Simpson and Haywards farmers.</p>	<p>1 1</p>
<p>Parts of the lower parts of the village (near the bridge and Spring Lane) have been designated high flood risk by Env Agency. Thought must be given to any development (car parks, housing commercial, that may contribute to runoff into R Deben. Protection of property should be high priority for the NP agenda. we can see that much thought and consideration has gone into the NP so a big thank you</p>	<p>1</p>

Any new housing will increase congestion onto the already overcrowded roads in Wickham Market. Infrastructures need to be designed first and foremost before any new building development is started. No more than 32 new homes to be built and not outside the Physical Limits boundary of the village. Its concerning to see a creeping of new development into surrounding villages. All new homes should all be lived in full-time and over 50% to be really affordable and for single people young and old. Agricultural Land should not been given over to any development, when we have many houses that are not used as residential properties. There is an immediate need for traffic calming measures within the village certainly along the High Street between Border Cot Lane to Wickham Bridge. I feel that vehicles do speed up after crossing the bridge and go over the 30mph speed limit and the same thing happens when vehicles come down from the village centre toward Wickham Bridge. We need more monitoring of speeding traffics were raised with the committee and also the covenant of 16th June 1924 which is referred to in many documents relating to our property over a number of years.mpty after 5pm I don't feel there is a need to turn an agricultural field into a car park. Could villagers rent out car parking spaces on their drives during the day when they may be at work? I believe this system runs in other areas. It should be that we get people out of their cars to either walk or use public transport. The suggested new disabled access to a possible car park off Mill Lane is unlikely to be of benefit to anyone with a disability as it is too away from the shops and centre of the village. If the traffic into the village is not kerbed then anyone with a disability trying to cross any roads with in the village is likely to struggle more and more, especially as there is only one pedestrian crossing in the village. If at all possible pedestrians should have right of way over any vehicles. These pedestrian areas are in place in towns such as Ashford in Kent.

--

Has anyone considered the need for safe parking at the school?
--

--

--

--

--

Would prefer no further developments but at least 'the old school farm' option is the option which addresses: 1. ease of accessibility avoiding pinch points in and around the village. 2. uses partly brownfield site, a preferred option. 3. should meet all housing requirements in "one" development with the least environmental impact to surrounding area.

--

--

--

Our doctors and service are stretched already. We could do with another grocery store somewhere.

I personally do not believe that we need more housing development because – a) The road services around and in Wickham Market are struggling to cope with the current new houses as is. b) We should be focusing on brown field development instead of trying to suffocate the green areas around Wickham. c) More housing development will only drive the community apart more and take the heart out of Wickham. d) The wildlife will suffer greatly if more development takes place. e) Looking at Framlingham in comparison, new development will not in anyway benefit Wickham Market.

--

--

--

--

--

--

--